

	Topic and agenda item	BCKLWN Response	References
	Item 1 – dDCO		
1	Part 1 - Interpretation		
1.1	Permitted Preliminary Works	The BCKLWN note the Applicant’s intention to submit a detailed Permitted Preliminary Works Environmental Management Plan at Deadline 4 and acknowledges the reasoning behind this as discussed. The Council will review the document at Deadline 4 and provide comments at the next available deadline.	
1.2	Definition of Maintain	The BCKLWN acknowledge discussion in relation to the definition of ‘Maintain’ as set out in the draft DCO – originally raised at Para 8.1.4 of the Local Impact Report and acknowledge the Applicant’s position that the full replacement of the scheme has been assessed in the Environmental Statement and as such the amendment requested by the Council is not necessary. The Council maintains its suggestion that the wording is amended for clarity purposes. Recent DCOs including One Earth and Beacon Fen contain the wording requested by the Council. Whilst the Applicant’s reasoning is noted, the Council consider this allows a greater degree of control around the impacts of the development. We are content for the ExA to reach a position on this.	Relating to [REP1-076], para 8.1.4
2	Part 6 – Miscellaneous and General		
2.1	Article 40 Felling or lopping of trees and removal of hedgerows	The Council maintain its position set out in the LIR - that the scope of works allowed by Article 40 as currently worded could result in adverse impacts to the key landscape features which adjoin the order limits, particularly Bartholomew’s Hill Plantation. The current wording would allow substantial works to trees bordering the site limits without any additional controls available and this would result in adverse effects for the heritage assets within the Council’s area which could be significant. The Council consider that the current draft wording does not	Relating to [REP1-076], para 8.1.5

ISSUE SPECIFIC HEARING 2 – 8th September 2026 – BOROUGH COUNCIL OF KINGS LYNN AND WEST NORFOLK – DEADLINE 4

		sufficiently protect these adjoining landscape features which provide a significant element of screening for the proposed industrial infrastructure.	
3	Schedule 2 – Requirements		
3.1	Proposal for approval of the permitted preliminary works environmental management plan	The BCKLWN note the Applicant's intention to submit a detailed Permitted Preliminary Works Environmental Management Plan at Deadline 4 for approval during the examination, and has no objection to the suggested process, noting the need for consideration of this plan prior to DCO consent being granted. The Council will review the document at Deadline 4 and provide comments at the next available deadline.	
3.2	New Requirements – DIO's request for Glint and Glare Management Plan and safeguarding measures	The Council support the DIO's requests in regard to the additional requirements detailed in RR-051 and reiterate the need for consideration of RAF Marham's contribution both to the local economy as an employer and to the Nation's defence. As noted within the Council's LIR any impacts upon the RAF base, for example from impacts upon the precision radar or from glint and glare, would be at odds with the Local Plan and would not be supported by the Council. Any physical mitigation measures could have additional impacts which would need careful consideration given the landscape and heritage consideration in the Council's responses to date.	[RR-051] And [REP1-076] para 6.2.1
4	Item 2 - Aviation		
4.1	Proposals to avoid interference from the proposed development with Royal Air Force (RAF) Marham's Precision Approach Radar	The Council note that mitigations plans, whilst progressing, are not yet at a stage to allow any detailed consideration of the landscape impacts of the proposal non-technical mitigation. The Applicant intends to rely on commitments within the Design Principles Parameters document, to set out the maximum height of any bunds or fencing to be 4.5m. Additional measures in relation to mitigation proposals are promised at deadline 5 (confirmed during discussion at ISH3). The Applicant states that the	[REP3-026], [REP3-038] and [REP3-083]

		proposed mitigation measures will be in line with the existing ceiling height of the proposed development and the extent of effects would not change versus that already considered within the Environmental Statement. The applicant is confident that physical mitigation required to meet the MODs needs would not introduce further or additional residual adverse significant effects. The Council would request that at a minimum a broad understanding of this mitigation detail is provided upfront given the conflicting issues of the impacts upon RAF Marham versus the potential landscape impacts. Similarly, considerations for bird strike will need to align with the need for suitable habitat for bird species identified in the Ecology Chapter. The design principles, parameters and commitments document should be updated to reflect a maximum height of 4.5m for the relevant bunds and/or fencing. The Council will review the proposed detail when it becomes available.	
5	Item 4 – Noise and Vibration		
5.1	Modelling and magnitude of operational noise effects	The Council confirm agreement to the operational noise assessment and are confident with the assessment that has taken place. An updated version of the SOCG is ongoing to reflect that these matters are agreed, and an updated version is expected to be submitted by the Applicant at Deadline 4.	